

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/574,057	03/29/2006	Jean-Luc Veron	12928/10029	7991
26646 KENYON & F	7590 04/02/200 KENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			MONDT, JOHANNES P	
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/574,057	VERON, JEAN-LUC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHANNES P. MONDT	3663	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Any	ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne application to become ABANUONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 December 26	per 2007.
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance ex	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pan	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8)🛛	Claim(s) 12-22 are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is it	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PC	「Rule 17.2(a)).
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified copies not received.
Attachmen	nt(s)	0
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation-Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/85/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:
S. Patent and T	Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/574,057 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This Office Action is based on the Substitute Specification, Abstract and Claims 12-22 as filed 3/29/06. The statement in Remarks on the file date of the application (3/29/06) that said Substitute Specification contains no new matter is noted.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Reply filed 12/26/07 to the Restriction Requirement mailed 11/30/07, wherein Applicant elected the Group I invention (claims 1-8) is acknowledged. Said Restriction Requirement is herewith being withdrawn, with apologies from examiner, because substitute claims 12-22 were filed on 3/.29/06 while the original claims 1-11 were cancelled. A phone message was conveyed March 28, 2008, to Applicant's Representative (K. T. Godlewski; 47,598), giving the option to elect by telephone, with follow-up inquiries by message March 31, 2008; however, to date, no reply was received. The following Restriction Requirement replaces said Restriction Requirement mailed 11/30/07:
- Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claims 12-19, drawn to a method of packaging leaky fuel rods.
- Group II, claims 20-22, drawn to a packaging device.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: a packaging device of the structure as defined in claim 20 and method for its use for packaging nuclear fuel elements is conventional as shown for instance by Fischer et al (4,780,269), who teach a packaging device (12) (Figure 1 and column 3, lines 20-62) with loading structure (floor serviceable by crane (16) (Figures 1-2 and loc.cit.) that is capable of being used for loading nuclear fuel rods, including defective nuclear fuels rods, into capsules 12 (loc.cit.), the structure having a loading location 18 (in pool 18; see Figure 1 and column 3, lines 20-35) capable of being used for loading each capsule with a defective fuel rod, and a filling location capable of being used for filling each capsule with an inert gas (on floor slab shown as lowest element depicted in Figure 2) (column 3, lines 36-45; for inert gas in the form of helium gas see again column 3, lines 36-45)); a guide and holder device 43 having positioning arrangement 38 capable of being used for positioning it on the loading structure (Figures 6-A and 6E, and column 3, line 63 - column 4, line 38); a support structure 14 for capable of being used for supporting capsules for long-direction or very-long-direction warehousing (column 4, lines 4-10); and a tool for handling and filling the capsules (crane 16; column 3, line 28). Therefore, a forteriori, claims 12 and 20 do not have a same or corresponding technical feature. Dependent claims 13-19 and 21-22 diverge in subject matter and special technical features.
- Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

Application/Control Number: 10/574,057

Art Unit: 3663

and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

Application/Control Number: 10/574,057

Art Unit: 3663

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Application/Control Number: 10/574,057
Art Unit: 3663

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b), Additionally, in order to retain the right to reioinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHANNES P. MONDT whose telephone number is (571)272-1919. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,057 Page 7

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Johannes P Mondt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663